| 01 | ! | |
|----|---|---------------------------|
| 02 | | |
| 03 | | |
| 04 | | |
| 05 | | |
| 06 | | |
| 07 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE | |
| 80 | | |
| 09 | DURIELL JAMES SIDERS, | CASE NO. C09-1799-JLR |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | CASE NO. CON 1799 JER |
| 11 | v.) | REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION |
| 12 | OFFICER D. PASCO, et al., | |
| 13 | Defendants. | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND | |
| 16 | Plaintiff proceeds pro se and in forma pauperis in this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights | |
| 17 | case. Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute. (Dkt. 40.) For the | |
| 18 | reasons described below, the Court agrees that this matter should be dismissed without | |
| 19 | prejudice based on plaintiff's failure to prosecute. | |
| 20 | On January 24, 2011, a Report and Recommendation issued in this matter and mailed to | |
| 21 | plaintiff's address of record was returned to the Court as undeliverable. (Dkt. 34.) A hand | |
| 22 | written note on the returned envelope indicated that plaintiff had been released on January 10, | |
| | REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -1 | |

Two subsequent Orders issued by the Court were likewise returned as 2011. (Id.)undeliverable. (See Dkts. 36-39.) Plaintiff has not provided either counsel for defendants or 03 the Court with an updated address. (See Dkt. 41.) Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 41(b)(2), plaintiff is required to keep the Court and 04 opposing parties advised as to his current address. "If mail directed to a pro se plaintiff by the 05 06 clerk is returned by the Post Office, and if such plaintiff fails to notify the court and opposing 07 parties within 60 days thereafter of his current address, the court may dismiss the action without 08 prejudice for failure to prosecute." Local CR 41(b)(2). See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) 09 (defendant may move for dismissal based on failure to prosecute). 10 In this case, more than sixty days have elapsed since the Court's Report and Recommendation was returned by the Post Office as undeliverable on January 24, 2011. 11 12 Given this fact, and given plaintiff's failure to keep the Court and defendants apprised of his 13 current mailing address, the Court recommends dismissal of this action without prejudice for A proposed Order of Dismissal accompanies this Report and 14 failure to prosecute. 15 Recommendation. 16 DATED this 4th day of April, 2011. 17 18 United States Magistrate Judge 19 20 21 22

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -2